

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boc 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gev

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/100,624	06/19/1998	DEAN VAN PHAN	7187	8762
27752	7590 12/29/2004	EXAMINER		
	TER & GAMBLE COM	BEFUMO, JENNA LEIGH		
	UAL PROPERTY DIVIS			
WINTON HI	LL TECHNICAL CENTE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6110 CENTE	R HILL AVENUE	1771		
CINCINNAT	T, OH 45224			

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	nN.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/100,63	24	PHAN, DEAN VAN				
		Examin	•	Art Unit				
		Jenna-Lei	gh Befumo	1771				
Period f	The MAILING DATE f this communication a r Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ldress			
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the main dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no every within the stated will apply and witte, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	May 2003.						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,11-18 and 20-25 is/are penda) Of the above claim(s) is/are withded claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,11-18 and 20-25 is/are rejected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from co	nsideration.		·			
Application	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
· •))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the correction is objected to by the							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) <u></u> / a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have bee ents have bee riority docume eau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment	(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date	08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/100,624 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 8, 2003 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-18, and 20-25 are pending.
- 3. The 35 USC 103 rejection based on Trokhan et al. (5,556,509) in view of Deschamps (FR 394134) is withdrawn since using a woven layer instead of the nonwoven felt layer in the papermaking belt taught by Trokhan et al. would not produce the claimed air permeability without destroying the teaching of Trokhan et al. with regards to the felt layer having a higher density and smaller pores than the second felt surface (see Interview Summary filed August 6, 2001). However, a new rejection for claims 1 3, 5 8 and 20 21 have been set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-18, and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trokhan et al. (*509) in view of Trokhan et al. (5,624,790).

The features of Trokhan et al. ('509) and Trokhan et al. ('790) have been set forth in the previous Office Action. While Trokhan et al. ('509) discloses a papermaking belt comprising a woven fabric having a felt layer on either side of the woven layer and a discontinuous

Art Unit: 1771

photosensitive resinous coating on the paper-facing side of the belt, Trokhan et al. ('509) fails to teach a woven reinforcing layer supporting the photosensitive resinous material layer. Trokhan et al. '(790) discloses using a woven layer which can have multiple warp and weft layers as well as various weave patterns as the reinforcing layer for the photosensitive resinous coating. The reinforcing woven layer strengthens the resinous coating and has suitable open areas to allow the water to be removed from the paper web (column 12, lines 57 – 65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the reinforcing woven layer of Trokhan et al. ('790) to the papermaking belt taught by Trokhan et al. ('509) to strengthen the resinous coating layer without interfering with the removal of fluids from the paper web. Thus, the open weave reinforcing layer coating with the discontinuous photosensitive resin would equate to the applicant's first lamina and the woven layer with the batting layers on either side would equate to the applicant's second lamina. Therefore, the open weave of the first lamina would have a greater air permeability than the second layer. Thus, claim 1 is rejected.

Trokhan et al. ('509) discloses dependant claims 2-3, and 5-8 as set forth in the previous office actions.

Claims 22 and 25 are also disclosed by Trokhan et al. ('509)(col. 3, lines 55-61).

With respect to claim 23, Trokhan et al. ('790) discloses that the reinforcing layer has an air permeability of 800 to 1400 cfm (column 16, lines 22 - 25).

With respect to claim 24, Trokhan ('790) discloses that the shed is the number of yarns in which the weave pattern repeats (column 18, lines 4-9). Further Trokhan et al. ('790) teaches that the weave pattern can have various alternating patterns with an unlimited number of possible combinations which are all included within the scope of the present invention (column 20, lines

Application/Control Number: 09/100,624

Art Unit: 1771 -

Page 4

60-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to choose a repeating pattern with a square weave woven fabric having a shed of two since Trokhan ('790) discloses that any possible combination of weave patterns are included within the scope of the present invention. Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the weave structure to modify the knuckle pattern on the paper side of the belt and produce a desired design in the paper web.

Claims 9, 11 - 18, and 20 - 21 are rejected for the reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed May 8, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that the woven structure according to Trokhan ('790) cannot simultaneously satisfy the functionality of the high density felt layer disclosed in Trokhan et al. ('509) and the requirement of the present invention that the air permeability of such a layer be greater than the permeability of the second layer of the belt (response, page 2). As set forth above the present rejection does not replace the high density felt layer with a woven layer, but instead adds the woven reinforcing layer to the belt structure taught by Trokhan et al. ('509) between the coating layer and the high density felt layer. Thus, the woven layer does not need to meet the requirements of the high density felt layer. Further, it is noted that Trokhan et al. ('509) does not teach the high density layer need to directly contact the paper web. Instead the discontinuous photosensitive resin layer is in direct contact with the paper layer and other mechanical means are used to draw the water our of the paper web layer, such as vacuums and presses. Further, since the woven reinforcing layer has an open weave structure, it will not interfere with the removal of water from the paper web. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Art Unit: 1771

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenna-Leigh Befumo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1472. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 - 5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jenna-Leigh Befumo December 22, 2004